### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 288 473 HE 020 994

AUTHOR Gill, Wanda E.; And Others

TITLE Students' Evaluation of the First Year Experience at

Bowie State College.

PUB DATE [87] NOTE 24p.

Charles Charles Constitutes

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Advising; Administrator Evaluation; Black
Students: \*College Freshmen: Counselor Evaluation:

Students; \*College Freshmen; Counselor Evaluation; Course Evaluation; \*Developmental Studies Programs;

Higher Education; Remedial Programs; Resource Teachers; Specialists; State Colleges; \*Student Attitudes; Student College Relationship; Student Evaluation of Teacher Performance; Tutoring; White

Students

IDENTIFIERS \*Bowie State College MD; Course Load

#### **ABSTRACT**

ξ,

An instrument was designed to survey students' impressions of Bowie State College (Maryland), with attention to administration, counseling and academic support services, and course loads. The research population consisted of students participating in the Special Services project at the college. Questionnaires were returned by six students, five black and one white. Five indicated that they were first-generation college students, and three said they were low-income. Three were sophomores, one a senior, and one respondent was unidentified. The questionnaire covered: teachers' characteristics, evaluation of specific courses, advisement and counseling evaluation, Special Services Project evaluation, and administrator evaluation. Respondents basically found teachers to be organized, experienced, and knowledgeable. There was no consensus on whether the course load was too much. Advisors and counselors were considered to be available, amiable, and advisory in a useful manner. The advising and counseling programs were considered well organized and managed, conveniently located, and beneficial. Additional findings and the responses to each survey question are shown in percentages (strong agreement, agreement, neutrality, disagreement, strong disagreement, or nonapplicability). (SW)

\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# STUDENTS' EVALUATION OF THE FIRST YEAR EXPERIENCE AT BOWIE STATE COLLEGE

by

Wanda E. Gill, Ed.D. Cornelia Brooks, B.S. Cheryl Coleman, B.S.

U.S DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Gue

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

### Introduction

The researchers developed the Students' Evaluation of the first year at Bowie State College and, accordingly, administered it. The instrument was designed to survey students' initial impressions of the administration, counseling and academic support services, and course loads. Astin and others have documented the relationship between student retention and satisfaction with the services offered at a college or university. Astin has conducted a considerable amount of time identifying the features of colleges that affect the progress of minority students. The specific areas covered by the survey: administration, counseling and academic support services and student course loads are specifically related to the retention and graduation of minority students.

Student perceptions of the college environment are extremely important for administrators and others concerned with student success and achievement. This study is designed to add data to the literature on minority student satisfaction and retention.



1

Adolphus, Stephen H., editor, Equality Postponed: Continuing Barriers to Higher Education in the 1980's. College Entrance Examination Board, New York, 1984.

#### METHODOLOGY

Administration of the questionnaire was via mail and the research population consisted of students participating in the Special Services project at Bowie State College: a project that provide; academic assistance to students fitting the project's participant guidelines. Six (6) of the mailed questionnaires were returned. Of the six (6) student respondents, there were four (4) sophomores, one (1) senior, and one (1) student who did not indicate classification. Three (3) of the students were nineteen (19) years old. Each of the following age catagories was represented by one (1) student: age twenty-six (26), age forty-five (45), and age fortyseven (47). The gender of the students was equally divided; three (3) students represented each gender. In regard to race, five (5) of the students were black and one (1) was white. In addition, two (2) students inidcated that they were first-generation college students and three (3) students indicated that they were low-income and first-generation college students. One (1) student did not supply this information. All forms were completed anonymously.

The questionnaire was divided into six (6) sections: Evaluation of teachers' characteristics, evaluation of coursework, evaluation of advisement, evaluation of counseling, evaluation of the Special Services Project (tutors, learning skills specialist, writing skills specialist, and director), and evaluation of Bowie State College administrators. The specific questions covered each of the areas. The students responded to each question by indicating strong agreement, agreement, neutrality, disagreement, strong disagreement, or non-applicability.



# Section A - Evaluation of Teachers' Characteristics

Que	stion	Responses	<u>*</u>
1.	My teachers are well organized.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	16.7 66.7 16.7 0 0
2.	My teachers follow the class schedule	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	16.7 83.3 0 0
3.	My teachers are experienced.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	33.3 50.0 16.7 0 0
4.	My teachers are predictable.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Agreement Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	0 33.3 50.0 0 0 16.7
5.	My teachers communicate course requirements clearly.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disgreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	33.3 50.0 16.7 0 0
6.	My teachers gave 4-5 tests per semester.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	16.7 66.7 16.7 0 0



(Section A. cont.)

7.	My teachers paraphrase the textbook.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	50.0
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	0
8.	My teachers add materials to the textbook.	Strong agreement	0
		Agreement	66.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	33.3
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	Ō
9.	My teachers are good.	Strong agreement	16.7
	<b>1</b>	Agreement	66.7
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	C
		Non-applicability	0
		Mon-applicability	U
10.	My teachers are knowledgeable	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	66.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	0
Sec	tion B - Evaluation of Coursework		
Que	stion	Responses	<u>*</u>
1.	The entire courseload was too much.	Strong agreement	16.7
Τ.	THE ENGLIE COULSELOAD WAS LOO MUCH.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	
		Non-applicability	0
2.	The English-Reading course work was too	Strong Agreement	0
	hard.	Agreement	0
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	
		Non-applicability	0
		THE OFFICE OWNERS	~



(Section B. cont.)

3.	The Math course is too hard.	Strong agreement	0
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	33.3
		Non-applicability	0
		No Response	16.7
4.	The Oral Communications course work is	Strong agreement	0
	too hard.	Agreement	0
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	50.0
		Non-applicability	33.3
5.	The Physical Education course work is	Strong agreement	0
	too hard.	Agreement	0
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	66.7
		Non-applicability	0
6.	The Orientation class course work is	Strong agreement	0
	too hard.	Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	66.7
		Non-applicability	16.7
7.	There are too many assignments in the	Strong agreement	0
	entire courseload.	Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	33.3
		Non-applicability	0
		No Response	16.7
8.	There are too many assignments in English-	Strong agreement	
	Reading.	Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	50.0
		Non-applicability	0
9.	There are too many assignments in Math.	Strong agreement	0
		Agreement	0
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	33.3
		Non-applicability	16.7
		No Response	16.7



10.	There are too many assignments in Oral Communication.	Strong agreement Agreement	0
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	-
		Non-agreement	16.7
		No Response	16.7
11.	There are too many assignments in Physical	Strong agreement	0
	Education.	Agreement	0
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	50.0
		Non-applicability	0
		No Response	16.7
12.	The English-Reading instructor is available	Strong agreement	33.3
	outside of the scheduled class session.	Agreeement	33.3
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	
		Non-applicability	
		Non-applicability	0
13.	The Math instructor is available outside	Strong agreement	16.7
	of the scheduled class session.	Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	33.3
		Non-applicability	0
		No Response	16.7
14.	The Oral Communicationsinstructor is	Strong agreement	33.3
	available outside of the scheduled class	Agreement	16.7
	session.	Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	16.7
		Non-applicability	
15	The Physical Education instructor is	Chuana amusaman	16.7
15.		Strong agreement	16.7
	available outside of the scheduled class	Agreement	66.7
	session.	Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	16.7
16.	The Orientation class instructor is	Strong agreement	50.0
	available outside of the scheduled class	Agreement	0
	session.	Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	
			16.7
		Non-applicability	
		ivo Response	16.7



## Section C - Evaluation of Advisement

Counselors were seen 5 times by 2 students (33.3%), 6 times by 1 student (16.7%), 2 times by 1 student (16.7%), and 1 time by 1 student (16.7%). There was no response by 1 student (16.7%).

Que	stion		Response	8
1.	My advisor	is usually available.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 16.7 16.7 0 16.7
2.	My advisor	gets along well with me.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 33.3 0 0 16.7
3.	My advisor	gives me good advice.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 33.3 0 0 16.7
4.	My advisor	provides me with useful advice.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreemen Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 33.3 0 0 16.7
5.	My advisor	is patient.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 16.7 33.3 0 0
6.	My advisor	is demanding.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	16.7 16.7 33.3 33.3 0



7.	The Advisor Program is good.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	16.7
		Non-applicability	0
8.	The Advisor Program is well organized.	Strong agreement	50.0
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	0
9.	Advisors are conveniently located.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	0

### Section D - Evaluation of Counseling

Three students (50%) reported that they did not see counselors, one student (16.7%) participated in counseling 10 times, another student (16.7%) participated 12 times and still another student (16.7%) indicated participating "many times".

Que	stion	Responses	8
1.	Counselors are available.	Strong agreement	50.0
		Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	16.7
		No Response	16.7
2.	Counselors' schedules are convenient.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	С
		Non-applicability	16.7
		No Response	16.7

# (Section D cont.)

3.	Counselors know where to go for additional information.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	50.0 16.7 0 0 0 16.7
4.	Counselors keep scheduled appointments.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	33.3 33.3 0 0 0 16.7
5.	Counselors keep office hours.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	50.0 16.7 0 0 0 16.7 16.7
6.	Counselors are well trained.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	50.0 0 16.7 0 0 16.7 16.7
7.	Counselors communicate well.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	50.0 0 16.7 0 0 16.7
3.	Counselors help.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	50.0 16.7 0 0 0 16.7



(Section D cont.)

^			
9.	Counselors know student problems.	Strong agr. ment	50
		Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	16.7
		No Response	1€.7
10.	Counselors' offices are centrally located.	Strong agreement	50.0
		Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	16.7
		No Response	16.7
11.	The Counseling Program is well organized.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	16.7
		No Response	16.7
12.	The Counseling Program is effectively	Strong agree ment	50.0
	managed.	Agreement	0
		Neutral ty	16 7
		Meutral ty	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Disagreement	
		<del>-</del>	0
		Disagreement Strong disagreement	0

# Section E - Evaluation of Special Services Project TUTORS

Four (66.7%) of the students indicated that they had not consulted a tutor at the Special Services Project, one student or 16.7% had consulted tutors 9 times, and one student or 16.7% did not respond to this question.

<u>\$</u>	
ment 0 isagreement 16.	7
nse 33.	3
!!	ment 0 lisagreement 16. icability 16.



# (Section E cont.)

2.	Tutors' schedules are convenient.	Strong Agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 0 0 16.7 16.7 33.3
3.	Tutors are knowledgeable.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 0 0 0 33.3 33.3
4.	Tutors know where to go for additional information.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 0 0 0 33.3 33.3
5.	Tutors keep appointments.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 0 16.7 0 16.7 16.7 33.3
6.	Tutors always follow their posted schedules.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 0 16.7 0 16.7 16.7 33.3
7.	Tutors are trained.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong diragreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 0 0 0 33.3 33.3



(Section E cont.)

8.	Tutors communicate well.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 0 0 0 33.3 33.3
9.	Tutors help.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Resposne	16.7 0 0 0 33.3 33.3
10.	The tutors know the material classroom teachers cover.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 0 16.7 0 0 33.3 33.3
11.	The tutorial CENTER is centrally located.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 33.3 0 0 0 16.7 33.3
12.	The tutorial CENTER is well organized.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 0 16.7 0 16.7 16.7
13.	The tutorial CENTER is effectively managed.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 16.7



### Learning Skills Specialist

Four students or 66.7% indicated that they hadn't had a session with the Learning Skills Specialist, one student or 16.7% saw the Learning Skills Specialist 4 times and one student or 16.7% did not respond to this question.

Que	stion	Responses	<u>*</u>
1.	The Learning Skills Specialist is always available.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreeement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 16.7
2.	The Learning Skills Specialist's schedule is convenient.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 16.7
3.	The Learning Skills Specialist is knowledgeable.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 16.7 0 0 33.3 16.7
4.	The Learning Skills Specialist knows where to go for additional information.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 16.7
5.	The Learning Skills Specialist keeps appointments.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 16.7



(Section E cont.)

6.	The Learning Skills Specialist always follows the posted schedule.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	16.7 16.7 0 0 33.3
		No Response	16.7
7.	The Learning Skills Specialist is trained.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	16.7
8.	The Learning Skills Specialist communicates	Strong agreement	16.7
	well.	Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	16.7
9.	The Learning Skills Specialist helps.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	C
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	16.7
10.	The Learning Skills Specialist knows the	Strong agreement	0
	material classroom teachers cover.	Agreement	50.0
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	16.7
11.	The Learning Skills Specialist's office	Strong agreement	16.7
	is centrally located.	Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	16.7



<sup>14</sup> 16

12.	The Learning Skills Specialist's well organized.	office is	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 16.7 0 0 33.3 16.7
13.	The Learning Skills Specialist's of is effectively managed.	office	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	16.7 16.7 16.7 0 0 33.3 16.7

# Section E - Writing Skills Specialist

Five students or 8.3% indicated that they hadn't had a session with the Writing Skills Specialist and one student or 16.7% did not respond.

Que	<u>stion</u>	Response	<u>*</u>
1.	The Writing Skills Specialist is always available.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	0 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 33.3
2.	The Writing Skills Specialist's schedule is convenient.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	C 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 33.3
3.	The Writing Skills Specialist is knowledgeable.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability No Response	0 33.3 0 0 0 33.3 33.3



4	mbe weiting alian a line in		
4.	The Writing Skills Specialist knows wh	5 5	0
	to go for additional information.	Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	33.3
		<del>-</del>	
5.	The Writing Skills Specialist keeps	Strong agreement	0
	appointments.	Agreement	16.7
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		<del>-</del>	=
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	33.3
_			
6.	The Writing Skills Specialist always	Strong agreement	0
	follows the posted schedule.	Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	33.3
7.	The Writing Skills Specialist is train	ed. Strong agreement	0
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	Ö
		Non-applicability	33.3
		No Response	33.3
		no kesponse	33.3
8.	The Writing Skills Specialis communic	ates Strong agreement	0
٠.	well.		-
	well:	3	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
			33.3
		No Response	33.3
^	m)		_
9.	The Writing Skills Specialist helps.	Strong agreement	0
		3	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	33.3
			33.3
		•	



10. The Writing Skills Specialist knows Strong agreement	0
the material classroom teachers cover. Agreement	33.3
Neutrality	0
Disagreement	0
Strong disagreement	0
Non-applicability	33.3
No Response	33.3
11. The Writing Skills Specialist's office is Strong agreement	0
	33.3
Neutrality	0
Disagreement	0
Strong disagreement	0
	33.3
No Response	33.3
12. The Writing Skills Specialist is well Strong agreement	0
	33.3
Neutrality	0
Disagreement	0
Strong disagreement	33.3
No Response	33.3
13. The Writing Skills Specialist's office Strong agreement	0
	33.3
Neutrality	0
Disagreement	0
Strong disagreement	0
·	
Non-applicability	33.3

### Section E - Director

Two students or 33.3% indicated that they had sessions with the director on 4 occasions; one student or 16.7% stated that he/she had a session with the director once and another student or 16.7% stated that he/she had sessions with the director on 2 occasions. Two or 33.3% of the students did not respond.

Que	stion	Responses	<u>8</u>
1.	The Director is always available.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	66.7
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
		Non-applicability	0
		No Response	0



2.	The Director's schedule is convenient.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	33.3 66.3 0 0
3.	The Director is knowledgeable.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	50.0 50.0 0 0
4.	The Director knows where to go for additional information.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 33.3 0 0 0 16.3
5.	The Director keeps appointments.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 33.3 0 0 0 16.7
6.	The Director always follows her posted schedule.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement Non-applicability	50.0 33.3 0 0 0 16.7
7.	The Director is trained.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	66.7 33.3 0 0
8.	The Director communicates well.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	66.7 33.3 0 0



9.	The Director helps.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	50.0 50.0 0 0
10.	The Director knows the material classroom teachers cover.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	50.0 50.0 0 0
11.	The Director's office is centrally located.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	50.0 50.0 0 0
12.	The Director is well organized.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	50.0 50.0 0 0
13.	The Director's office is effectively managed.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	66.7 33.3 0 0

# Section ${\bf F}$ - Evaluation of Bowie State College Administrators.

Que	estion	Responses	<u>8</u>
1.	Administrators are usually available.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	16.7 50.0 0 16.7 16.7
2.	Administrators' jobs are clear to me.	Strong agreement Agreement Neutrality Disagreement Strong disagreement	16.7 50.0 0 16.7 16.7



3.	Administrators communicate school policies	Strong agreement	16.7
	t.o me.	Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	16.
		Disagreement	16.3
		Strong disagreement	
1	Administrators are aware of student needs.	Chuana a marant	2.5
7.	Administrators are aware or student needs.	Strong agreement	15.
		Agreement	50.0
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	16.
		Strong disagreement	16.
5.	Administrators are approachable.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	50.0
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	16.7
6.	Administrators care about students.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	16.7
7.	Administrators are good at what they do.	Strong agreement	33.3
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	15.7
		Strong disagreement	
8.	Administrators get student input before	Strong agreement	33.3
	making decisions on program changes.	Agreement	16.7
	manang addictions on program onangest	Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	
^			
9.	Administrators designed a good schedule.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	33.3
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	0
		No Response	16.7
10.	Administrators included courses in the	Strong agreeement	16.7
	curriculum that I need.	Agreement	50.0
		Neutrality	33.3
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	Ū



11.	Administrators' offices are centrall located.	Y Strong agreement Agreement	16.7 50.0
		Neutrality	16.7
		Disagreement	0
		Strong disagreement	0
12.	Adminstrators are accessible.	Strong agreement	16.7
		Agreement	50.0
		Neutrality	0
		Disagreement	16.7
		Strong disagreement	16.7

#### Summary

The respondents basically found teachers organized, experienced, and knowledgeable. Most of the respondents' instructors were reported to have supplemented the class text. Overall, the respondents judged the teachers to be "good." Furthermore, most respondents felt that English-Reading, Physical Education, Oral Communications, and Orientation weren't "too hard." However, there was no consensus on whether the entire course load was "too much." Relevant to this perceived lack of difficulty, perhaps, is the indication by at least half of the respondents that their English-Reading, Math, Oral Communications, and Physical Education instructors were available outside of class.

In regard to the other components, advisors and counselors were equally considered to be available, amiable, and advisory in a useful manner though not demanding. The advising and counseling programs were also considered well organized and managed, conveniently located, and beneficial. By those respondents who considered it applicable, the tutors, director, learning skills specialist, and writing skills specialist were perceived as knowledgeable, resourceful, trained, and helpful. There was, however, a wide dispersion of views on tutors' appointment-keeping and schedule-following.

Overall, the administrators were perceived positively. However, there existed wide dispersion on respondent perceptions in all categories of administration except in regard to administrators including needed curriculum courses and to administrators' offices being centrally located. In fact, the students were almost split between agreement and strong disagreement on their perception of administrators.

The instrument provides a variety of student perceptions. Consequently, it can provide to teachers, advisors, counseling and academic support services, and administrators feedback on the effects, if any, of their behavior on the student population. It may prove to be highly beneficial in improving the college community's relationships if a similar instrument were administered to incoming students on a yearly basis.